

Pencils on double coverings of curves.

Luis Fuentes García

Abstract: Let X be a smooth curve of genus g . When $\pi \geq 3g$ and $d \geq \pi - 2g + 1$ we show the existence of a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ where C a smooth curve of genus π with a base-point-free pencil of degree d which is not the pull-back of a pencil on X .

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): Primary, 14H51; secondary, 14H30.

Key Words: Double coverings, base-point-free pencil.

1 Introduction.

Let C and X be two smooth curves of genus respectively π and g . Let $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ be a double covering. It is clear that the base-point-free pencils g_d^1 on X induce base-point-free linear systems on C which have low degree respect to the genus π . However, the existence of base-point-free pencils of given degree d on C which are not induced by γ is a more subtle problem.

The following facts are known:

1. If $d \leq \pi - 2g$, a pencil on C of degree d is always induced by a pencil on the curve X . This is a consequence of the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality (see [6]).
2. If X is a generic curve and $\pi \geq 5g + 1$ then there is a base-point-free pencil of any degree $d \geq \pi - g$ which is not composed with the given double covering (see [1]).
3. If $0 \leq s \leq 2g$ and $\pi \geq 4g - s$ then there is a base-point-free pencil of degree $d = \pi - 2g + 1 + s$ which is not composed with the given double covering (see [7]). Note, that these bounds implies $d \geq 2g + 1$.
4. Given a smooth curve X of genus g , if $\pi \geq 4g + 5$ and $d \geq \pi - 2g + 1$ then there exist a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ with C smooth of genus π and a base-point-free linear system of degree d on C which is not induced by γ (see [2]). In this case the double covering is not arbitrary.

In this paper we improve significantly the bound on the genus π for the existence of the double covering and the base-point-free pencil of degree d . The main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let X be a smooth curve of genus g . If $\pi \geq 3g$ and $d \geq \pi - 2g + 1$, then there is a double covering $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ with C a smooth curve of genus π and a base-point-free divisor $\mathfrak{d} \in \text{Div}^d(X)$ such that the linear system $|\mathfrak{d}|$ is not induced by a linear system on X .*

The proof uses the relation between double covers and 2-secant curves on ruled surfaces. Note, that this fact was used by Keem and Ohbuchi in [7], but not exactly in the same way. They use the properties of the elementary transformations of ruled surfaces. Here, given a smooth curve X and a decomposable ruled surface $S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e}))$, we describe with detail the construction of a 2-secant curve C on S with prescribed branch points. The pencils on C will be the restriction of suitable pencils of S .

Finally, we give some results relating the gonality and the Clifford index of the curves X and C .

We refer to [3] and [5] for a systematic development of the projective theory of ruled surfaces and to [4] for the study of 2-secant curves and double coverings.

2 Double coverings and ruled surfaces.

A *geometrically ruled surface*, or simply a *ruled surface*, will be a $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth curve X of genus $g > 0$. It will be denoted by $\pi : S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow X$ with fibre f . We will suppose that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a normalized sheaf and X_0 is the section of minimum self-intersection that corresponds to the surjection $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e}) \rightarrow 0$, with $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e})$ and $e = -\deg(\mathfrak{e})$. We know that $\text{Num}(S) = \mathbb{Z}X_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$ (see [5], V.2 and [3]).

When $\mathcal{E}_0 \equiv \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e})$ we say that the ruled surface is decomposable. In this case we will denote by X_1 the irreducible curves of the linear system $|X_0 - \mathfrak{e}f|$. They do not meet X_0 and have self-intersection e .

A curve $C \subset S$ will be said n -secant when $C \cdot f = n$. The following results describe the relation between 2-secant curves on decomposable ruled surfaces and double coverings.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ be a double covering of a smooth curve X . Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf of C . Then:*

1. $\mathcal{E} = \gamma_*\mathcal{L}$ is a local free sheaf on X of rank 2. From this, $p : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ is a geometrically ruled surface.

2. There is a closed immersion $j : C \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ verifying $p \circ j = \gamma$.
3. $H^i(\mathcal{E}) = H^i(\mathcal{O}_{P(\mathcal{E})}(1)) \cong H^i(\mathcal{L})$.
4. $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(\mathcal{O}_{P(\mathcal{E})}(1)) + \pi - 2g + 1$.

Proof: See [4], 2. ■

Lemma 2.2 *Let $p : S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e})) \longrightarrow X$ be a decomposable ruled surface over a smooth curve X . Let $\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2$ be two effective divisors of X verifying:*

1. $\mathfrak{b}_1$ and $\mathfrak{b}_2$ are smooth.
2. $\mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2 = \emptyset$.
3. $\mathfrak{b}_2 \sim \mathfrak{b}_1 + 2\mathfrak{e}$.
4. If $\mathfrak{e} \sim 0$, then $\mathfrak{b}_1 \not\sim 0$.

Then, there is a smooth curve $C \sim 2X_0 + \mathfrak{b}_1 f$ with an induced double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ with branch divisor $\mathfrak{b}_1 + \mathfrak{b}_2$.

Proof: The idea is the same of the proof of the Theorem 2.9 in [4]. Let us consider the nontrivial involution σ of S with fixed divisors X_0 and X_1 . The divisors of the following pencil are invariant by the involution σ :

$$\langle 2X_0 + \mathfrak{b}_1 f, 2X_1 + \mathfrak{b}_2 f \rangle \subset |2X_0 + \mathfrak{b}_1 f|.$$

Let C be a generic divisor of the pencil. By Bertini's Theorem, C is smooth away from the base locus. Since $\mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2 = \emptyset$, the base locus of the pencil is $\mathfrak{b}_1 f \cap X_1$ and $\mathfrak{b}_2 f \cap X_0$. Then, the smoothness of $\mathfrak{b}_1$ and $\mathfrak{b}_2$ implies the smoothness of C .

Now, let us see that C is irreducible. C can not contain a fiber f , because the points of $(C - f) \cap f$ would be singular points. On the other hand, suppose that $C = A + B$, where A and B are irreducible 1-secant curves. Since C is smooth, $A \cap B = \emptyset$. This implies $A \sim X_0$, $B \sim X_1$ and $\mathfrak{b}_1 \sim \mathfrak{b}_2 \sim \mathfrak{e} \sim 0$. But this contradicts the hypothesis.

Finally, the projection p induces a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$. Moreover C is invariant by the involution σ , so the ramification points of γ are $C \cap X_0$ and $C \cap X_1$ and the branch divisor is $\mathfrak{b}_1 + \mathfrak{b}_2$. ■

Lemma 2.3 *Let C be a smooth curve of genus π with a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ over a smooth curve X of genus g . If C is a 2-secant curve of a ruled surface $p : S \longrightarrow X$ with invariant e , then:*

$$e \leq \pi - 2g + 1.$$

Proof: Let us suppose $C \subset S$, with $C \sim 2X_0 + \mathfrak{b}f$. Because C and X_0 are distinct curves:

$$0 \leq C \cdot X_0 = \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - 2e \Rightarrow \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - e \geq e.$$

Then:

$$\pi = g(C) = g(X_0) + g(X_0 + \mathfrak{b}f) + X_0 \cdot (X_0 + \mathfrak{b}f) - 1 = 2g + \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - e - 1$$

From this:

$$\pi - 2g + 1 = \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - e \geq e.$$

■

Theorem 2.4 *Let C be a smooth curve of genus π with a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ over a smooth curve X of genus g . Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be the branch divisor. If $0 \leq e \leq \pi - 2g + 1$ then there is a decomposable ruled surface S with invariant e such that C is a 2-secant curve. In particular:*

$$C \sim 2X_0 + \mathfrak{b}_1f, \text{ where } 2\mathfrak{b}_1 + 2\mathfrak{e} \sim \mathfrak{c}.$$

Proof: It is well known that the double covering is completely determined by fixing the branch divisor. Let us choose two effective divisors $\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2 \in \text{Div}(C)$ such that:

$$\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{b}_1 + \mathfrak{b}_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(\mathfrak{b}_1) - \deg(\mathfrak{b}_2) = 2e.$$

The map $\times 2 : \text{Pic}^e(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^{2e}(X)$ is a surjection, so there is a divisor $\mathfrak{e}$ such that $2\mathfrak{e} \sim \mathfrak{b}_2 - \mathfrak{b}_1$. Now, applying the Theorem 2.2 we construct a double covering with the prescribed branch divisor on a decomposable ruled surface with invariant e . ■

3 Pencils on double coverings.

The main tool to construct double coverings with base-point-free pencils of low degree will be the following theorem. The pencils will be the restriction of unisecant linear systems on a decomposable ruled surface to 2-secant curves.

Theorem 3.1 *Let X be a smooth curve of genus g . Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \mathfrak{b}$ be effective divisors on X verifying:*

1. $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b} + 2\mathfrak{a}_2 - 2\mathfrak{a}_1$ are smooth divisors without common base points.
2. $Bs|\mathfrak{a}_1| \cap Bs|\mathfrak{a}_2| = Bs|\mathfrak{a}_1| \cap Bs|\mathfrak{b}| = Bs|\mathfrak{a}_2| \cap Bs|\mathfrak{b} + 2\mathfrak{a}_2 - 2\mathfrak{a}_1| = \emptyset$.

Then there is a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ where C is a smooth curve of genus $\pi = 2g - 1 + \deg(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1)$ and there is a base-point-free linear system $|\mathfrak{d}|$ in C of degree $\deg(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}_2)$.

Moreover, if $\deg(\mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1) > 0$ then $|\mathfrak{d}|$ is not the pull-back of a linear system in X .

Proof: We apply the Lemma 2.2 for $\mathbf{e} \sim \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{b}_1 \sim \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}_2 \sim \mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1$. We obtain a smooth curve C of genus $2g - 1 + \deg(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1)$ and a double cover $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$. Moreover, consider the linear system $|H| = |X_0 + \mathbf{a}_1|$ on the ruled surface $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{e}))$. Let us see that the restriction $|\mathfrak{d}| = |H|_C$ of this linear system to the curve C is base-point-free.

Since $\mathbf{a}_1$ and $\mathbf{a}_2$ have not common base points, the unique base points of $|H|$ are at $X_0 \cap \mathbf{a}_2 f$ and $X_1 \cap \mathbf{a}_1 f$. We also know that $C \sim 2X_0 + \mathbf{b}f$. Thus, $C \cap X_0 = (\mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1)f \cap X_0$ and $C \cap X_1 = \mathbf{b}f \cap X_1$. But the pairs $(\mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}_1)$ have not common base points. We deduce that $|\mathfrak{d}|$ is base-point-free.

Finally, since $C \cdot X_0 = \deg(\mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1) > 0$ the linear system $|\mathfrak{d}|$ is not the pull-back of a linear system in X . ■

Theorem 3.2 *Let X be a smooth curve of genus g . If $\pi \geq 3g$ and $d \geq \pi - 2g + 1$, then there is a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ with C a smooth curve of genus π and a base-point-free divisor $\mathfrak{d} \in \text{Div}^d(X)$ such that the linear system $|\mathfrak{d}|$ is not induced by a linear system on X .*

Proof: We will apply the Theorem 3.1. We only have to choose suitable divisors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ and $\mathbf{b}$. We consider two cases:

1. $d - (\pi - 2g + 1)$ is even.

Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ be two effective divisors on X of degree $a = (d - (\pi - 2g + 1))/2$ and without common base points. In particular, if $d = (\pi - 2g + 1)$ we will take $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}_2 = 0$. Let $\mathbf{c}$ be a generic divisor on X of degree d . By hypothesis, $d \geq g + 1 + 2a$ so the divisors $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} - 2\mathbf{a}_2$ and $\mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1$ are effective and base-point-free.

2. $d - (\pi - 2g + 1)$ is odd.

Let $a = (d + 1 - (\pi - 2g + 1))/2$. Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ be two effective divisors on X of degrees a and $a - 1$ respectively, without common base points. In particular, if $d = (\pi - 2g + 1) + 1$ we will take $\mathbf{a}_2 = 0$. Let $\mathbf{c}$ be a generic divisor on X of degree d . Now $d \geq g + 2a$ and the divisors $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} - 2\mathbf{a}_2$ and $\mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1$ are effective and without common base points.

■

Theorem 3.3 *Let X be a smooth curve of genus g with a base-point-free pencil $|\mathfrak{b}|$ of degree $d > 0$. Then there is a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ with C a smooth curve of genus $\pi = 2g - 1 + d$ and a base-point free-divisor $\mathfrak{d} \in \text{Div}^d(X)$ such that the linear system $|\mathfrak{d}|$ is not induced by a linear system on X .*

Proof: It is sufficient to apply the Theorem 3.1 for the divisors $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_2 = 0$. ■

As a consequence of this result we see that the bound $\pi \geq 3g$ of the Theorem 3.2 is not optimal. If X is a curve of gonality $\text{gon}(X) = d$ we can apply the Theorem 3.3 to obtain a C with genus $\pi = 2g - 1 + d$ and a base-point-free pencil of degree d . On the other hand, if $d = \pi - 2g + 1$ the bound $\pi \geq 2g - 1 + \text{gon}(X)$ can not be improved because $\text{gon}(C) \geq \text{gon}(X)$. Thus, the remaining open question is the following:

Question 3.4 *Let X be a smooth curve of genus g . Let π, d be integers with $2g - 1 \leq \pi < 3g$ and $d \geq \max\{\pi - 2g + 1, \text{gon}(X)\}$. Is there any covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ with C a smooth curve of genus π and a base-point-free pencil of degree d which is not the pull-back of a pencil of X ?*

4 Gonality and Clifford index of double coverings.

Given a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$, in this section we study the relation between the gonality and the Clifford index of the curves C and X . Although some of the proofs could be shorted by using the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality, we only apply the methods described in this article.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ be a double covering of a smooth curve X . Then:*

$$\text{gon}(X) \leq \text{gon}(C) \leq 2\text{gon}(X).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{d}$ be a divisor of C providing the gonality $\text{gon}(C)$. Then $\gamma_*\mathfrak{d}$ is a divisor of X with $\deg(\gamma_*\mathfrak{d}) = \text{gon}(C)$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\gamma_*\mathfrak{d})) \geq 2$. Thus, $\text{gon}(X) \leq \text{gon}(C)$.

On the other hand, by the Theorem 2.4 we know that there is a ruled surface $S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with invariant $e = \pi - 2g + 1$ containing the curve C . Furthermore $C \sim 2X_0 + \mathfrak{b}f$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is the branch divisor of γ . Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a divisor on X providing the gonality $\text{gon}(X)$. Let us consider the linear system $|H| = |X_0 + \mathfrak{a}f|$. Then:

$$\deg(\mathcal{O}_C(H)) = H \cdot C = -2e + 2\deg(\mathfrak{a}) + \deg(\mathfrak{b}) = 2\deg(\mathfrak{a}) = 2\text{gon}(X).$$

Moreover,

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(H)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(H)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e})) \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) = 2.$$

From this, $gon(C) \geq deg(\mathcal{O}_C(H)) = 2gon(X)$. ■

Theorem 4.2 *Let C be a smooth curve of genus π with a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ over a smooth curve of C of genus g . Then:*

$$gon(C) \geq \min\{\pi - 2g + 1, 2gon(X)\}$$

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{d}$ be a divisor on C providing the gonality $gon(C)$. Let (S, H) be the polarized ruled surface with $S = \mathbf{P}(\gamma_*\mathcal{O}_C(\mathfrak{d}))$ and $\mathcal{O}_S(H) = \mathcal{O}_S(1)$. Let $H \sim X_0 + \mathfrak{a}f$. We know that

$$2 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(\mathfrak{d})) \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e})) \quad \text{and} \quad deg(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 0.$$

Moreover:

$$gon(C) = deg(\mathfrak{d}) = H^2 + \pi - 2g + 1 = 2deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e + \pi - 2g + 1.$$

Now, we distinguish two cases:

1. If $2deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e \geq 0$ then:

$$gon(C) = 2deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e + \pi - 2g + 1 \geq \pi - 2g + 1.$$

2. If $2deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e < 0$ then $deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e < 0$. From this, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e})) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) \geq 2$. We see that $deg(\mathfrak{a}) \geq gon(X)$ and

$$gon(C) = 2deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e + \pi - 2g + 1 \geq 2gon(X).$$

■

Theorem 4.3 *Let C be a smooth curve of genus π with a double covering $\gamma : C \longrightarrow X$ over a smooth curve of C of genus g . Then:*

$$Cliff(C) \geq \min\{\pi - 2g - 1, 2gon(X) - 2\}.$$

Proof: If the Clifford dimension of C is 1, then the bound follows from the previous theorem. Thus, let $\mathfrak{d}$ be a divisor on C providing the Clifford index $Cliff(C)$ with $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(\mathfrak{d})) \geq 3$. We construct the polarized ruled surface (S, H) corresponding to $\gamma_*\mathcal{O}_C(\mathfrak{d})$. Note that:

$$deg(\mathfrak{d}) \leq \pi - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad H^2 + \pi - 2g + 1 \leq \pi - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad H^2 \leq 2g - 2.$$

We know that:

$$\begin{aligned} Cliff(C) &= 2deg(a) - e + \pi - 2g + 1 - (2h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(L)) - 2) \geq \\ &\geq 2deg(a) - e + \pi - 2g - 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) - 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e})) + 3. \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish the following cases:

1. If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})), h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e})) \geq 2$, we can apply the Clifford Theorem to the divisors $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e}$. We obtain:

$$Cliff(C) \geq \pi - 2g + 1 - 2 - 2 + 2 = \pi - 2g - 1.$$

2. If $h^0(\mathfrak{a}) \leq 1$ then $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e})) \geq 2$. The Clifford Theorem for the divisor $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e}$ gives:

$$Cliff(C) \geq \deg(\mathfrak{a}) + \pi - 2g + 1 - 2 \geq \pi - 2g - 1.$$

3. If $h^0(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e}) = 1$, then $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) \geq 2$. Now, the Clifford Theorem applies to $\mathfrak{a}$:

$$Cliff(C) \geq \deg(\mathfrak{a}) - e + \pi - 2g + 1 - 2 \geq \pi - 2g - 1.$$

4. If $h^0(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{e}) = 0$, then $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) \geq 3$. We know that:

$$\deg(\mathfrak{a}) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})) \geq gon(X) - 2.$$

From this and the Lemma 2.3:

$$Cliff(C) \geq 2gon(X) - 4 - e + \pi - 2g + 1 + 2 \geq 2gon(X) - 2.$$

■

Corollary 4.4 *Let C be a smooth curve of genus π with a double covering $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ over a smooth curve X of genus g . If $\pi \geq 2g - 1 + 2gon(X)$, then:*

$$gon(C) = 2gon(X) \quad \text{and} \quad Cliff(X) = 2gon(X) - 2.$$

Proof: It is a consequence of the Theorem 4.2, 4.3 and the Lemma 4.1. ■

This result says nothing when $\pi < 2g - 1 + 2gon(C)$. However, as an application of the Theorem 3.3 we can construct double coverings of a generic curve X of genus g with prescribed gonality:

Corollary 4.5 *Let X be a generic curve of genus g . Then for any integer d with $gon(X) \leq d \leq 2gon(X)$ there exists a double covering $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ with C a smooth curve such that:*

$$gon(C) = d, \quad Cliff(C) = d - 2.$$

Proof: Since X is generic, there is a base-point-free pencil of degree $d \geq gon(X)$. Now, it is sufficient to apply the Theorems 3.3, 4.2 and 4.3. ■

References

- [1] BALLICO, E.; KEEM, C. *On multiple coverings of irrational curves*. Arch. Math **N.65**, 151-160 (1995).
- [2] BALLICO, E.; KEEM, C.; PARK, S. *Double covering of curves*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132, **N. 11**, 3153-3158 (2004).
- [3] FUENTES GARCÍA, L., PEDREIRA, M.: *The projective theory of ruled surfaces*. Note Mat., **24**, 25-63 (2005).
- [4] FUENTES GARCÍA, L., PEDREIRA, M.: *Canonical geometrically ruled surfaces*. Math. Nachr. **278**, 240-257 (2005).
- [5] HARTSHORNE, R. *Algebraic Geometry*. GTM, 52. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [6] KANI, E. *On Castelnuovo's equivalence defect*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **352**, 24-70 (1984).
- [7] KEEM, C.; OHBUCHI *On the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality for a double covering*. Preprint.